



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,131 08/10/2001		Gowri Rajaram	UTL 00104	4248	
32968	7590	02/14/2006	EXAMINER		
KYOCERA P.O. BOX 92		ESS CORP.	CHOW, CH	IH CHING	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-8289				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2192	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/927,131	RAJARAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chih-Ching Chow	2192			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Not</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-38 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the conten	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		, ,			
•	armier, 140te trie attacheu Office	Audion of form F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/04.		te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment dated November 07, 2005.

- 2. Per Applicants' request, independent claims 1, 10, and 19 have been amended, claims 9, 18, and 27 canceled.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-38 remain pending.
- 4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/02/2005 has been entered.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2192

6. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 18 of copending Application No.10/665,962. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other, from the comparison listed in the following table:

Current Application (09/927,131)	Co-Application (10/665,962)
US 2003/0033599	US 2005/0064847
Claim 1	Claim 18
In a wireless telephone, a method for	A wireless communication device,
executing dynamic instruction sets, the	comprising: a data storage area having a
method comprising:	current area code and a current area code
executing system software on the wireless	table, wherein the current area code is
telephone, the system software for	included in the current area code table; a
establishing an air interface connection	runtime engine configured to receive an
with a wireless service provider;	update instruction via a wireless
receiving dynamic instructions sets using	communication network, the update
the air interface connection;	instruction comprising a new area code
launching a run-time engine on the	and a new area code operation code; an
wireless telephone;	operation code library comprising the new
processing the received dynamic	area code operation code; and a set of
instruction sets using the run-time engine;	runtime instructions corresponding to the
operating on system data and system	new area code operation code, wherein the
software using the dynamic instruction set	wireless communication device receives
and the run-time engine; and,	the update instruction and executes the
in response to operating on the system	runtime instructions corresponding to the
data and system software, controlling the	new area code operation code to replace the
execution of the system software.	current area code in the data storage area
	with the new area code.

Claim 1 of current application is anticipated by co-application claim 18 in that co-application claim 18 contains all the limitations of the current application claim 1. Claim 1 of the current application therefore is not patentably distinct from co-application claim 18 and as such is unpatentable for obvous-type double patenting.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2192

7. Claim 20 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 18 of copending Application No.10/665,962. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other, from the comparison listed in the following table:

Current Application (09/927,131) US 2003/0033599 Claim 20	Co-Application (10/665,962) US 2005/0064847 Claim 18
In a wireless communications device, a dynamic instruction set execution system, the system comprising: executable system software and system data differentiated into code sections; dynamic instruction sets for operating on the system data and the system software, and controlling the execution of the system software; and, a run-time engine for processing the dynamic instruction sets.	A wireless communication device, comprising: a data storage area having a current area code and a current area code table, wherein the current area code is included in the current area code table; a runtime engine configured to receive an update instruction via a wireless communication network, the update instruction comprising a new area code and a new area code operation code; an operation code library comprising the new area code operation code; and a set of runtime instructions corresponding to the new area code operation device receives the update instruction and executes the runtime instructions corresponding to the new area code operation code to replace the current area code in the data storage area with the new area code.

Claim 20 of current application is anticipated by co-application claim 18 in that co-application claim 18 contains all the limitations of the current application claim 1. Claim 20 of the current application therefore is not patentably distinct from co-application claim 18 and as such is unpatentable for obvous-type double patenting.

Art Unit: 2192

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,622,017 by Hoffman (hereinafter "Hoffman").

CLAIM

1. In a wireless telephone, a method for executing dynamic instruction sets, the method comprising:

executing system software on the wireless telephone, the system software for establishing an air interface connection with a wireless service provider;

receiving dynamic instructions sets using the air interface connection;

launching a run-time engine on the wireless telephone;

processing the received dynamic instruction sets using the run-time engine; operating on system data and system software using the dynamic instruction set and the run-time engine; and,

in response to operating on the system

Hoffman

See Hoffman's column 3, lines 35-43, "When a terminal is distributed to a subscriber of communication services offered by a wireless network, the subscriber selects one or more features from those available through the network (establishing connection). The methodology then involves retrieving an executable program module corresponding to the selected feature from the database. That module is downloaded into the program memory in the subscriber's wireless communication terminal, to enable that terminal to implement the selected feature" (receiving); also see column 4, lines 29-39, "In the case of the software invention, the programming code

Art Unit: 2192

data and system software, controlling the execution of the system software.

on any such medium comprises a plug-in terminal feature module (software that adds features to a larger piece of software – at run time, dynamic instructions) for execution by a controller of a wireless communication terminal (runtime engine). The module is compatible with a predetermined program interface implemented by the wireless communication terminal".

20. In a wireless communications device, a dynamic instruction set execution system, the system comprising: executable system software and system data differentiated into code sections; dynamic instruction sets for operating on the system data and the system software, and controlling the execution of the system software; and, a run-time engine for processing the dynamic instruction sets.

See claim 1 rejection, also see FIG. 1 and column 5 lines 13-42; core programming section, M1; and, memory section M2 (data plug-in).

Conclusion

The following summarizes the status of the claims:

35 USC § 102 rejection: Claims 1, 20

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Ching Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-3693. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,131 Page 7

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Ching Chow

Examiner

Art Unit 2192

February 2, 2006

CC

TUAN DAM